The unguentum belladonna was alleged to be adulterated in that it was sold under a name recognized in the pharmacopoeia and differed from the standard prescribed therein, since it yielded more than 0.132 percent, namely, not less than 0.15 percent of the alkaloids of belladonna leaf; whereas the pharmacopoeia provides that belladonna ointment shall yield not more than 0.132 percent of the alkaloids of belladonna leaf, and the standard of strength, quality, and purity of the article was not declared on the container. It was alleged to be misbranded in that the statement "Unguentum Belladonna, U. S. P. XI," borne on the label, was false and misleading.

The phenacetin and salol tablets were alleged to be adulterated in that they were sold under a name recognized in the National Formulary but differed from the standard prescribed therein, since each of the tablets contained more than 110 percent, namely, not less than 484 percent of the labeled amount of phenyl salicylate [salol], equivalent to 2.42 grains per tablet; whereas the said formulary provides that phenacetin and salol tablets shall contain not more than 110 percent of the declared amount of phenyl salicylate; and the standard of strength, quality, and purity of the article was not declared on the container.

They were alleged to be misbranded in that the statement "Tablets Phenacetin and Salol (N. F. VI) \* \* \* Salol ½ Gr.," borne on the label, was false and misleading since they did not conform to the standard laid down in the National Formulary and each of the tablets contained more than ½ grain, namely, not less than 2.42 grains of salol. It was alleged to be misbranded further in that it contained phenacetin, a derivative of acetanilid, and the label on the package failed to bear a statement that phenacetin is a derivative of acetanilid.

The boric acid ointment was alleged to be adulterated in that it was sold under a name recognized in the pharmacopoeia but differed from the standard prescribed therein, since it contained more than 11 percent, namely, not less than 13.08 percent of boric acid; whereas said pharmacopoeia provides that boric acid ointment shall contain not more than 11 percent of boric acid and the standard of strength, quality, and purity of the article was not declared on the container thereof. It was alleged to be misbranded in that the statement "Boric Acid Ointment U. S. P.," borne on the cartons and tubes, was false and misleading.

On March 14, 1938, a plea of guilty was entered and the defendant was sentenced to pay a fine of \$250.

W. R. GREGG, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

## 28736. Adulteration of solution of citrate of magnesia. U. S. v. Valdosta Drug-Co. Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, \$75. (F. & D. No. 39825. Sample Nos. 22749-C, 44111-C.)

This product differed from the standard established by the United States. Pharmacopoeia because of deficiency in magnesium citrate and citric acid.

On December 6, 1937, the United States attorney for the Middle District of Georgia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against the Valdosta Drug Co., a corporation, Valdosta, Ga., alleging shipment by said company in violation of the Food and Drugs Act on or about April 7 and 9, 1937, from the State of Georgia into the State of Florida of two lots of solution of citrate of magnesia which was adulterated. The article was labeled in part: "Valdosta Drug Co., Valdosta, Ga."

It was alleged to be adulterated in that it was sold under a name recognized in the United States Pharmacopoeia and differed from the standard of strength, quality, and purity as determined by the test laid down therein, since the two-lots were found to contain in each 100 cubic centimeters an amount of magnesium citrate corresponding to not more than 0.92 gram and 0.57 gram, respectively, of magnesium oxide, and in each 10 cubic centimeters citric acid equivalent to not more than 20.7 cubic centimeters and 23 cubic centimeters, respectively, of half-normal hydrochloric acid, whereas the pharmacopoeia provides that solution of magnesium citrate shall contain in each 100 cubic centimeters an amount of magnesium citrate corresponding to not less than 1.6 grams of magnesium oxide, and in each 10 cubic centimeters of the solution citric acid equivalent to not less than 26 cubic centimeters of half-normal hydrochloric acid; and its own standard of strength, quality, and purity was not declared on the container.

On March 21, 1938, a plea of nolo contendere was entered on behalf of thedefendant and the court imposed a fine of \$75.